

Appl. No. 09/410,592
Atty. Docket No. 6664MR
Amd. dated February 25, 2004
Reply to Notice of Non-Compliance of January 21, 2004

REMARKS

Claims 1-17 are now in the case.

Applicants have cancelled claims 18-35 without prejudice.

Election/Restriction

As requested by the Examiner, Applicants have cancelled claims 18-35 as being directed to a nonelected invention.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-17 have been provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being patentable over claims 2-12, 14, 16-49, 52-67 and 69-109 of copending application No. 09/082,349.

Applicants hereby submit a terminal disclaimer therefore mooting the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are therefore requested.

In light of the foregoing, early and favorable action on all claims is requested.

Brown et al.

By 

Thibault Fayette
Attorney for Applicants
(513) 627-4593

February 25, 2004
Customer No. 27752